1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA . . H-10-CR-368
4	vs HOUSTON, TEXAS . NOVEMBER 10, 2011
5	. 10:14 A.M. BARRY WALTER BUJOL, JR
6	
7	TRANSCRIPT OF BENCH TRIAL
8	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID HITTNER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	VOLUME 4
11	THIS TRANSCRIPT HAS BEEN FURNISHED AT PUBLIC EXPENSE UNDER
12	THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT AND MAY BE USED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY COURT ORDER. UNAUTHORIZED REPRODUCTION WILL RESULT IN AN
13	ASSESSMENT AGAINST COUNSEL FOR THE COST OF AN ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY AT THE OFFICIAL RATE.
14	General Order 94-15, United States District Court, Southern District of Texas.
15	
16	APPEARANCES:
17	FOR THE GOVERNMENT:
18	Stephen Mark McIntyre Craig M. Feazel
19	Assistant US Attorney P O Box 61129
20	Houston, TX 77208
21	Garrett M. Heenan United States Department of Justice
22	950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20530
23	
24	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.
25	

```
1
     APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2
     STANDBY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT PRO SE:
3
          Edward A. Mallett
          Mallett & Saper, L.L.P.
          600 Travis
4
          Suite 1900
          Houston, TX 77002-2911
5
6
     OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER:
7
          Cheryll K. Barron, CSR, CM, FCRR
          U.S. District Court
8
          515 Rusk Street
          Houston, TX 77002
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

24

25

10:15

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Please be seated.

All right. At the end of yesterday's business the government rested its case. Mr. Bujol, what's your pleasure? Do you desire to present any testimony in this case?

It's now -- it's now in your court. Now it's your side. The government has rested. It's now up to the defense. What's your position?

Are you going to testify or are you going to have any evidence you want to submit? You tell me what you are going to do. It's strictly up to you. This is a major crossroads in the case.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. With regards to testimony, I would greatly appreciate it if I had time to really think about such an important decision.

THE COURT: No, sir. The case is ready to go. You had all night long. It's been fully explained to you. You've had the ability of standby counsel.

Let the record reflect Mr. Mallett has been in this courtroom since day one. And I now need to know are you going to proceed in this case.

Remember, there's no obligation in a criminal case for a defense -- a defendant, or a defense counsel for that matter, to even ask one question, like you've had -- been able to do. It's -- fully, the burden is on the plaintiff.

10:15

10:15

10:16 10

10:16 15

10:16

10:17 25

Under the Fifth Amendment, you have an absolute right to remain mute, not to say a thing at this time.

Let me tell you what will happen. If you're ready to go, I have a few more instructions for you; and then I'll ask you to proceed with, you know, your case. If the answer is that, you know, you've completed, you know, your case as far as you're concerned, you elect not to put any evidence on -- which is your absolute right -- then at that point we're going to go right to summation. "Summation" is to sum up the case to the judge, and we'll see how much time each side needs.

The government will go first, and then you will have the opportunity. But at that time, you cannot testify. You just have to sum up the testimony that we've heard. Okay? You can't testify, in effect, and tell your side of the case. The -- so, let's say, for instance, that -- let's say, it's 20 minutes a side. I haven't decided yet. I don't know what you want and what they want. Okay?

They can take all 20 minutes, and then you go, to sum up the evidence that went in. More than likely, since they've got the burden of proof, they may take 15 minutes and stop, let you have all your time, and then they're entitled to their last five minutes. So, that's where we're at right now, either proceed with the defense case or, under the absolute right that you have, that the defendant declines either to testify or to submit any evidence.

So --

Then, at that point, I'll give everybody, if you want, just a few minutes to get some notes together; and we'll have summation. And following that, there needs to be, of course, a decision by the Court one way or another.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm going to decline testimony.

THE COURT: All right. The defendant exercises his absolute right not to testify or present any further evidence.

So, Mr. Bujol, what's your decision, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: However, I do want to present further evidence.

THE COURT: How are you going to get it in? When I say "how are you going to get it in," it needs to be some sort of -- what is it -- some sort of predicate. But if you want to get some evidence in, let's talk about it. But be careful now. You're not to testify about anything. Anything. If the evidence doesn't, what we say, speak for itself and it needs some testimony on how to get in, then we're getting into, in effect, testimony in the case. So, that warning is absolutely clear.

All the evidence right now that's been submitted and all of your documents are in. Okay? Are in, in the record. But beyond that, if you elect to proceed, if you need any background of your case, if you step over the line, they're going to then be entitled to ask you questions. In other

words, let me give you -- let me give you the instruction that 1 10:18 2 I was going to give you, give you a little more time to think 3 about it. Okay? 4 It appears to me -- now, the government needs to 5 be in on this, also. And if you have any suggestions, we want 10:18 to keep this clean, so to speak, relative to the defense 6 7 position. 8 So, these were the instructions, Mr. Bujol --9 let's say you elected to testify or you may change your mind. These are the instructions that I was going to give to you. 10 10:19 Okay? And, so, then you determine if you want to step into the 11 12 defense case at all. A defendant -- and this -- who takes the stand --13 14 in other words, who elects to testify, waives any Fifth 10:19 15 Amendment privilege regarding cross-examination relevant to the issues raised by your testimony. So, if all the documents 16 17 don't speak for themselves, that's testimony and they can then ask you direct questions on that. Okay? 18 The next one is the breadth of the waiver. 19 scope of the waiver of relevant cross-examination by the 20 10:19 government is to be -- that's -- this is how it's determined. 21 The extent of the cross-examination is within the discretion of 22 the -- in this case, the judge. In any event, it's the judge. 23 24 The Court -- the defendant may not claim the privilege against cross-examination on any matters reasonably 25 10:20

1 related to the subject of his or her direct examination. 10:20 2 Meaning, we're talking about you cross-examine -- testifying 3 yourself relative to anything you're getting in. I want to repeat that. This -- wait a second. I've got to lay this out 4 5 for you. 10:20 The defendant may not claim the privilege against 6 7 cross-examination on matters reasonably related to the subject 8 matter of the defendant's direct examination. There is no 9 direct examination of you, because you're doing it yourself, so of your testimony or your basis for any piece of evidence. 10 10:20 11 Like any other witness, the defendant may have 12 his or her credibility impeached and/or the testimony assailed. 13 But that usually means that's within a reasonable scope. If 14 you start in with any kind of testimony, they can go into 10:21 15 credibility. And my understanding -- I'm looking at both sides now -- that includes prior -- prior convictions or prior 16 17 problems and propensities. Is that the understanding of the government? 18 19 MR. McINTYRE: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: If it's not -- and it's not my 20 10:21 interpretation. We have standby counsel. 21 22 Is that your understanding also, Mr. Mallett, for 23 the record? MR. MALLETT: My understanding is that if he testifies 24 25 he testifies for all purposes relevant to the case. 10:21

10:23

THE COURT: That's correct.

And the relevancy is determined by the judge as to the appropriateness of the questions they ask, if -- if you object to such questions from them later on, after you're done.

If a defendant testifies on his own behalf but refuses to answer relevant questions on cross-examination, the trial Court may properly advise, in effect the jury -- but advise the trier of fact that it may consider the defendant's refusal in assessing his or her credibility or, alternatively, the Court may strike the defendant's testimony in whole or in part. If the defendant has testified -- now, if you elect to testify, the government may comment on the defendant's refusal to answer proper questions during its closing argument.

Now, that's taken directly out of a major treatise that we all use. And I've done the best I can.

Now, once again, Mr. Bujol, do you elect to proceed at this time to any extent?

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, all I want to do at this time is to play the clips that are part of what's already the government's evidence.

THE COURT: You want to replay them?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I don't want to replay them. I -they're -- they don't cover the entire scope of actual events that took place there. So, all I'm doing is playing the same recordings that were played and letting it speak for itself.

10:23	1	don't have to give commentary or testify as to what the
	2	recordings are saying. They're self explanatory.
	3	THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Is it already in
	4	evidence? Is all of that in evidence?
10:23	5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it's already in evidence.
	6	THE COURT: Government agree?
	7	MR. McINTYRE: Yes, your Honor.
	8	THE COURT: All right. Now, what's your feeling on
	9	that status, about limiting it to that extent? Play this
10:23	10	it's in evidence; play the rest? It's in evidence; play the
	11	rest?
	12	MR. McINTYRE: I think that he would be entitled to
	13	play video and audio that's already in evidence if he doesn't
	14	comment or testify about it, Judge.
10:23	15	THE COURT: All right. Now, let me ask you this.
	16	You're not going to play the whole thing, right?
	17	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
	18	THE COURT: You've got little bits and pieces.
	19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. Yes, your Honor.
10:24	20	THE COURT: All right. Let's proceed that way.
	21	Now, if you elect to I'm just mentioning it.
	22	If you elect to elaborate on that, in effect, stop it and,
	23	"See, that man here, he walked over there; and he didn't do
	24	this," you're there you are. You've got your instructions.
10:24	25	If you do that, you're going to open it up.

10:24	1	So, at this point, government agree?
	2	In other words, what portion, what small portion
	3	of what clip do you want? Play it. What portion do you want?
	4	Play it. Anything beyond that, opens it up. Do you agree?
10:24	5	Potentially opens it up. Do you agree?
	6	MR. McINTYRE: I agree, your Honor.
	7	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Mallett, potentially it
	8	could open it up; do you agree?
	9	MR. MALLETT: I think, in all fairness to the Court, I
10:24	10	do need to comment that the witnesses who testified previously
	11	were examined by Mr. Bujol under the restriction he could only
	12	question them about matters raised on direct. So, if he wants
	13	to play clips about matters not raised on direct, then I
	14	suppose he would ask questions of those witnesses now.
10:25	15	THE COURT: But the witnesses have all been excused.
	16	Nobody was reserved here. So, he's got the clips that he would
	17	like to play. Right now, we're at the clips he would like to
	18	play. If he wants to go any further, then we can talk about
	19	it. Okay? If he wants to go any further. So, right now, it's
10:25	20	just the clips that he wants to play.
	21	MR. MALLETT: Okay.
	22	THE COURT: All right. Good.
	23	Which one do you want?
	24	How many do you have, by the way, so I can note
10:25	25	it down?
		-

10:25	1	THE DEFENDANT: I don't have very many.
	2	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's play the clips.
	3	I guess if we're going to do that we might as
	4	well turn out that one light.
10:27	5	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I do have one question.
	6	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
	7	THE DEFENDANT: With respect to if I say something
	8	just for the purposes of you knowing where to verify that this
	9	is from such-and-such date, is that considered
10:27	10	THE COURT: No. Because we have to identify what
	11	exhibit it is. No, sir. That would be fine.
	12	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
	13	THE COURT: So, what are we looking at? What's the
	14	first clip you want?
10:27	15	THE DEFENDANT: Well, your Honor, in the interest of
	16	time and convenience
	17	THE COURT: Don't worry about the time. That's why
	18	we're here. We're here to try the case.
	19	THE DEFENDANT: Okay. This is from November 13th of
10:27	20	2009.
	21	THE COURT: Where is it? Set the scene. You can do
	22	that for us. Where is it?
	23	THE DEFENDANT: This is at the Waller County jail.
	24	THE COURT: Okay. Is that Waller County jail?
10:28	25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
	ı	

10:28	1	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. McIntyre, what?
	2	MR. McINTYRE: Your Honor, this is an audio-video that
	3	was not put in evidence actually.
	4	THE COURT: Not what?
10:28	5	MR. McINTYRE: This was not put into evidence.
	6	THE COURT: Was not put into evidence.
	7	MR. McINTYRE: No.
	8	THE COURT: All right. It's not in evidence.
	9	However however, do you deny its authenticity
10:28	10	or anything else? Aside from relevancy.
	11	MR. McINTYRE: I assume it's something that we gave
	12	him, your Honor.
	13	THE COURT: Is that where you got it?
	14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. I have the disk here.
10:28	15	THE COURT: All right. Overruled. I'll let him play
	16	it.
	17	MR. McINTYRE: Okay.
	18	THE COURT: Okay. Go right ahead. Play it, please.
	19	(Tape playing)
10:29	20	THE COURT: Is there any sound attached to it?
	21	(Counsel confers with marshals to set speakers up for the
	22	defendant)
	23	THE COURT: All right. Let's try it. We can fine
	24	tune it.
10:33	25	Yeah, move the microphone or move the speaker

10:33	1	as close as you can.
	2	All right. Let's try it, shall we?
	3	(Tape playing)
	4	MR. McINTYRE: Your Honor, are we moving on to a
10:36	5	different piece of evidence?
	6	THE COURT: Yeah. Hang on a second.
	7	MR. McINTYRE: I don't know what he's plying.
	8	THE COURT: Where are we now, Mr. Bujol? Where is
	9	this from?
10:36	10	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, this is December 4th,
	11	2009.
	12	THE COURT: Okay. And where are the parties located?
	13	THE DEFENDANT: The parties are in the car after the
	14	defendant was bailed out of jail by the CHS.
10:36	15	THE COURT: Okay.
	16	(Tape playing)
	17	THE COURT: Okay. Next clip?
	18	Before you play each one, just let us know what
	19	the scenario is.
10:39	20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
	21	THE COURT: Okay.
	22	THE DEFENDANT: This is the same conversation, same
	23	location and everything.
	24	THE COURT: Okay.
10:39	25	(Tape playing)

10:39	1	THE COURT: A little louder, please. Can you get a
	2	little more volume?
	3	(Tape playing)
	4	THE COURT: Mr. Bujol, we need a little more volume if
10:41	5	we can.
	6	(Tape playing)
	7	THE COURT: That's better. That's better.
	8	(Tape playing)
	9	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, same conversation, in the
10:45	10	car with the
	11	THE COURT: Again. It will continue.
	12	THE DEFENDANT: CHS.
	13	THE COURT: When it changes location, let us know.
	14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
10:45	15	THE COURT: Let's assume that all these clips are from
	16	the same occurrence in the automobile on the date you
	17	mentioned.
	18	(Tape playing)
	19	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, this is a subsequent
10:58	20	meeting that occurred on December 23rd between the two parties.
	21	THE COURT: December 23rd what year?
	22	THE DEFENDANT: Of 2009.
	23	THE COURT: All right. Remind me government,
	24	remind me what was the day that he was arrested on the ship?
10:58	25	MR. McINTYRE: It was May 30th, 2010.

	1	TITLE COLUMN 2010
10:58	1	THE COURT: 2010.
	2	And this is December 2009?
	3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
	4	THE COURT: Okay.
10:59	5	THE DEFENDANT: But before I go to that, I would like
	6	to go to the government's exhibit wherein the defendant
	7	discusses in an e-mail
	8	THE COURT: Uh-uh, don't tell me what's there. It's
	9	just discussing an e-mail. You start getting into the depths
10:59	10	of that, you may open it up.
	11	THE DEFENDANT: Do I have to when you say "in the
	12	depth," if I read what is on the e-mail or what extent
	13	THE COURT: All we're doing is that e-mail you're
	14	looking at, is that in evidence?
10:59	15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
	16	THE COURT: All right. What's the date of it? What
	17	exhibit number?
	18	The e-mail itself.
	19	THE DEFENDANT: It should be Exhibit 113.
11:00	20	THE COURT: What is 113, please?
	21	Is that it? You can go wherever you need to go.
	22	Is it a hard copy or a transcript? I guess I can look it up.
	23	Is it here?
	24	MR. FEAZEL: I believe we can get a hard copy and
11:00	25	direct you to where it is in our book.
		-

11:00	1	THE COURT: What page is it?
	2	THE CLERK: It's Volume 1.
	3	THE COURT: Volume 1? All right. I've got it up
	4	here. 113.
11:00	5	All right. 113, they're discussing hot
	6	chocolate, right? Is that part of it? The difference between
	7	"two" and "twelve"?
	8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
	9	THE COURT: All right. So, you got a that's in
11:01	10	evidence. So, you want to just bring my attention to it or
	11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
	12	THE COURT: you have some
	13	THE DEFENDANT: I'm just do I bringing your
	14	attention to it
11:01	15	THE COURT: That would be in summation. That would be
	16	in summation, not in your part of the case. Unless you want to
	17	offer evidence concerning that.
	18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
	19	THE COURT: Okay. And that's what you want to play?
11:01	20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
	21	THE COURT: All right. So, what we're listening to
	22	today is you gave the date in December 2009, relevant to
	23	Government's Exhibit 113, right?
	24	THE DEFENDANT: Right.
11:01	25	THE COURT: All right. Play the part. Go on.

11:01	1	And is it in a vehicle also, sir?
	2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
	3	THE COURT: All right.
	4	(Tape playing)
11:02	5	MR. McINTYRE: Your Honor, I think we just played
	6	this.
	7	THE COURT: We just played it.
	8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. But you were speaking with the
	9	woman, and I presumed you may have overlooked it.
11:02	10	THE COURT: I didn't overlook it, but you've drawn my
	11	attention to it. If you want to play it again, how long is it?
	12	I was talking to my case manager just about 30
	13	seconds.
	14	THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Case manager. My apologies.
11:03	15	THE COURT: No. It's all right.
	16	THE DEFENDANT: It's just about 80 seconds.
	17	THE COURT: Sure. Go on. Play it again.
	18	(Tape playing)
	19	THE DEFENDANT: I would like at this time to turn your
11:05	20	attention, your Honor, to Exhibit 114. It's an e-mail dated
	21	December 15th, 2009.
	22	THE COURT: Okay. 115 is in evidence, correct?
	23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. It's all in evidence.
	24	THE COURT: It's in the book.
11:06	25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 114.

	_	
11:06	1	THE COURT: I thought you said turn my attention to
	2	115.
	3	THE DEFENDANT: No. It was I said I'll repeat
	4	that 114 dated December 15th, 2009.
11:06	5	THE COURT: Oh, yes. By the way, you don't have to
	6	reference anything like that. What I need you to do is give me
	7	whatever evidence you want in that has not been played. So,
	8	that's what we are looking at. As long as it's in here for the
	9	record, your it's in the record. I noted that document.
11:08	10	THE DEFENDANT: We're going to move forward to the
	11	back to the meeting in the car, same conversation. This is
	12	or this is a subsequent conversation to the day the defendant
	13	was bailed out of jail by the CHS.
	14	THE COURT: All right.
11:08	15	THE DEFENDANT: December 23rd, 2009.
	16	(Tape playing)
	17	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I would like to mention it
	18	for the record that refers to
	19	THE COURT: Uh-uh. If you're going to do that, you're
11:10	20	opening it up.
	21	THE DEFENDANT: Oh, yes. Yes. Okay.
	22	May I ask a question?
	23	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
	24	THE DEFENDANT: If I'm referring to the page in the
11:10	25	transcript
	I	·

11:10	1	THE COURT: You can do that, sure.
	2	THE DEFENDANT: Okay. It's referring to Page 26
	3	through 27 in the transcript.
	4	THE COURT: All right. Because I was expecting you to
11:11	5	tell me what it refers to and give me some interpretation.
	6	THE DEFENDANT: Oh, no. No, your Honor.
	7	THE COURT: All right. That's fine. I'm glad we got
	8	it in the record.
	9	THE DEFENDANT: This is the same conversation; and
11:11	10	it's Page 7 of the transcript, starting at Page 7 of the
	11	transcript.
	12	(Tape playing)
	13	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I would like to direct
	14	your attention to Exhibit 93.
11:14	15	THE COURT: All right. That's looks like an e-mail
	16	with a number of websites, correct?
	17	THE DEFENDANT: No. There should be something about
	18	admittance to a group and Hijrah_Islam.
	19	THE COURT: Oh, where it oh, you want the first
11:14	20	sentence, "The moderator of the Hijrah_Islam group has approved
	21	your request for membership," correct?
	22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
	23	THE COURT: All right. Is that what you want me to
	24	note?
11:14	25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. As with the subject of that

e-mail. 1 11:14 2 THE COURT: Yeah. They provided you with some websites. 3 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. And I would also like to turn 5 your attention to Exhibit 94. 11:14 6 THE COURT: All right. This is from you. Let me just 7 read it. 8 All right. It's about your background. I've 9 read it. What else? THE DEFENDANT: I believe it's Exhibit 96. 10 11:15 THE COURT: All right. I've got some questions about 11 12 that, but I cannot ask you. I've looked at it, and I've noted 13 it. That's the best I can do right now within the limits of 14 your not being subject to questions. Because if I start 11:15 15 questioning you -- I just keep out of that. So -- I need to. And the government has a right to no questions being asked, 16 17 because then they'll start following up. So, I've noted it. And, for the record, all of the exhibits in 18 evidence I will note and I will reference them before a 19 20 decision is made in this case. 11:16 21 Now, on something like this, Mr. Bujol, you 22 wanted me to note something, that's more summation than your 23 case. When you get into summation, we'll set the time frames; 24 and I'll keep a timer up here. I actually have a chess timer 25 that I use. Okay? And I'll let you know how the time is 11:16

11:16

going.

3 4

11:16 5

6 7

1

2

8

9

11:17 10

11

12

13

14

16

18

20

22

11:17 15

17

19

11:17

21

23

24

11:18 25

Basically what you're doing in a number of these things is more summation of the case, for me to consider as to -- because in summation you can state that -- that's what their burden is and they have not met their burden because of A, B, C, D, and E. So, what you are putting forward here is bordering on summation; but I'm allowing you to do it. Okay?

Just keep in mind you have time to sum up the case. So, this is your part -- in other words, if you want testimony within the boundaries that you have set, I'll be glad to consider it. But by my noting an exhibit abstractly, just like you want me to note that exhibit, that's summation. As you say, they can -- for instance, in summation, you can say, "They said A, B, C, and D. But look in the book, on page -- on exhibit number so-and-so and, you know, that contradicts what they just said." Now, that's arguing the case like a lawyer.

What you are doing now is more like summation than putting on your version of what went on. That's what really the defense case would be about. And you can, in effect, through your testimony try to punch a hole in what they say. You can't comment on it -- or you can take the stand or whatever you need to do. I just want to mention that to you.

But I noted it. But if I feel it's more summation than your case, I'm going to let you know and ask you to move on. But you'll have opportunity to do that sort of

11:18	1	stuff in summation. You'll bring my attention to certain
	2	exhibits.
	3	(Tape playing)
	4	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I would like to reflect
11:18	5	this does contain Arabic, Arabic being spoken by the defendant.
	6	THE COURT: Right.
	7	THE DEFENDANT: And it corresponds to Page 8, starting
	8	in the transcript of December 23rd, 2009.
	9	THE COURT: All right.
11:19	10	(Tape playing)
	11	THE DEFENDANT: This is the corresponding with
	12	Page 12, beginning at Page 12 in the transcript.
	13	THE COURT: All right.
	14	(Tape playing)
11:25	15	THE COURT: Hold it one second.
	16	(Court confers with staff)
	17	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, at this time I'm going to
	18	move to a subsequent meeting that occurred on January 20th of
	19	2010. And this is I believe it's in the car.
11:29	20	Yes, it should be in the car.
	21	But first, I have here a copy of the transcript.
	22	And this it I would like to read a portion of the
	23	translator's notes, the person who created this document. And
	24	it talks about it's on Page 1 of the document. And it
11:30	25	says

11:30	1	THE COURT: Hold it.
	2	Before he reads from it, what's the government's
	3	position? Is this transcript primary or the secondary
	4	evidence?
11:30	5	MR. McINTYRE: It's in evidence, your Honor.
	6	THE COURT: Is it primary evidence? In other words,
	7	how many
	8	How much are you going to read from it?
	9	THE DEFENDANT: I'm just going to read a sentence.
11:30	10	THE COURT: All right. Just tell them where it is.
	11	Because there's always a question, remember, in cases where
	12	there are transcriptions as to what's primary and what's
	13	secondary evidence. And I gave an instruction so if a jury was
	14	in the box I don't have to do it to myself.
11:31	15	MR. McINTYRE: Right.
	16	THE COURT: But, basically, remember transcripts are
	17	secondary evidence even though it is evidence. But primary
	18	evidence is the actual recording itself.
	19	THE DEFENDANT: Okay. This page is
11:31	20	THE COURT: What page?
	21	THE DEFENDANT: It's just a generic page. It's on
	22	every transcript. It's just a
	23	THE COURT: All right. Read it, then. What does it
	24	say?
11:31	25	THE DEFENDANT: It has a list of Arabic terms, one of

11:31	1	which is "hijrah." And it says and they're defining the
	2	Arabic terms for a non-Arabic person or a person who speaks
	3	Arabic. And it says, "hijrah," then a dash, "the flight of
	4	Prophet Muhammad from Mecca to Medina. In this conversation,
11:31	5	it refers to the act of fleeing from danger or from the land of
	6	sin."
	7	THE COURT: All right. Now play what you need to.
	8	(Tape playing)
	9	THE DEFENDANT: And forgive me, your Honor, but I do
11:32	10	have to remind myself that because there is Arabic in here I
	11	would like to give you this begins at Page 6 of that
	12	transcript.
	13	THE COURT: Okay.
	14	THE DEFENDANT: This particular clip here.
11:32	15	THE COURT: Okay.
	16	(Tape playing)
	17	THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Your Honor, I'm going to go to
	18	Page 5 of that same transcript.
	19	THE COURT: All right.
11:38	20	(Tape playing)
	21	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, this is that same
	22	conversation, beginning with Page 26 of the transcript.
	23	(Tape playing)
	24	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, this is Page 27, same
11:44	25	transcript.

(Tape playing) 1 11:44 2 THE DEFENDANT: Starting at Page 28. 3 (Tape playing) 4 THE COURT: All right. It's now 10 minutes to 12:00. 5 We'll take our first break. I want the record to reflect we're 11:49 listening to bits and pieces from the stacks of transcripts and 6 7 the stacks of disks. However, I do want to note that Mr. Bujol 8 is just flipping through the transcripts and putting various 9 pieces on that we're all considering. I do want to mention, however, I'm going to ask 10 11:50 Mr. Bujol during this break to tighten it up and let's move it 11 12 In other words, you're here in court. Go through, put along. 13 it on, take it off, put it on, take it off, put it on, take it off. And I want to move quicker than just flipping through 14 11:50 15 almost ahead of time just as one segment is on, flipping through as to what might be relevant in the defendant's 16 17 opinion. All right. We'll take a break. It's 11:51. 18 19 We'll take a break to 12:10, and then we'll keep moving. 20 Let me ask this marshal here. I think we got a 11:50 scout in the back. Okay? If you want to bring him in my 21 22 waiting room, I'll be glad to visit with him for a moment. 23 Okay? 24 All right. So, we'll see you back in a little 25 less than 20 minutes. 11:50

11:50	1	(Recess was taken)
	2	THE COURT: Thank you. Be seated.
	3	By the way, you can sit on either side. We have
	4	a couple of guests there or whatever. Don't be hesitant if you
12:15	5	want to shift either way, left or right.
	6	Let me see Ellen for a minute, please.
	7	(Court confers with staff)
	8	THE COURT: All right. Let's continue, Mr. Bujol,
	9	please.
12:15	10	THE DEFENDANT: Before I go to the next clip, I would
	11	like to draw your attention to I believe it's 237, an e-mail
	12	dated April 27, 2010.
	13	THE COURT: All right. It's noted. Go right ahead,
	14	sir.
12:16	15	THE DEFENDANT: I'm going to go to February 8th, 2010,
	16	a conversation after the defendant completed the first
	17	dead-drop.
	18	(Tape playing)
	19	THE DEFENDANT: This corresponds beginning with
12:17	20	Page 34 of the transcript.
	21	(Tape playing)
	22	THE DEFENDANT: We'll go next to February 21st, 2010.
	23	This is in the car, at a parking lot of between a restaurant
	24	and an Academy shoe store.
12:20	25	(Tape playing)
	-	

12:21	1	THE DEFENDANT: This is Page 11.
	2	(Tape playing)
	3	THE DEFENDANT: Same transcript, Page 15.
	4	(Tape playing)
12:29	5	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I'm going to go to
	6	February 22nd, the very next day after the dead drop meeting.
	7	In the car in the parking lot of Starbuck's Coffee.
	8	THE COURT: Thank you.
	9	THE DEFENDANT: I need to make a correction. Before I
12:31	10	do that, your Honor, I would like to point your attention to an
	11	audio recording of the defendant's, recovered from his laptop
	12	seized at his apartment the night of his arrest. And it's just
	13	a short clip. I'll go right to it.
	14	MR. McINTYRE: Objection, your Honor. It's not in
12:31	15	evidence.
	16	THE COURT: It's not in evidence. All right. So, you
	17	can't go there. It's not in evidence. Unless you want to open
	18	up a full case and start admitting evidence.
	19	(Tape playing)
12:32	20	THE COURT: Hold it. Hold it. Are you playing that
	21	one
	22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. I just returned back
	23	to the original recording I was set to play, in the parking lot
	24	of Starbuck's.
12:32	25	THE COURT: Okay. Yes. Go right ahead.

12:32	1	(Tape playing)
	2	THE DEFENDANT: Again, to be clear, this starts at
	3	Page 16.
	4	THE COURT: All right. Let's go.
12:33	5	MR. McINTYRE: Your Honor, I would like to read in a
	6	portion of that record that follows, the very next page, under
	7	the rule of optional completeness.
	8	THE COURT: Under the rule of optional completeness,
	9	yes, what how does it continue, please?
12:33	10	MR. McINTYRE: "I mean, what so, not only is
	11	freedom of speech is even if you heard it, you're guilty."
	12	THE COURT: Who's speaking?
	13	MR. McINTYRE: That's the source, your Honor.
	14	THE COURT: Okay. The confidential source?
12:34	15	MR. McINTYRE: Yes.
	16	THE COURT: Start again, please.
	17	MR. McINTYRE: The source stated, "I mean, what so,
	18	not only freedom of speech is, even if you heard it, you're
	19	guilty."
12:34	20	And then the defendant replies, "That's the
	21	point. And that's why, when I told you my situation, I didn't
	22	see I didn't realize myself how tight they were. I thought
	23	just look, I haven't done anything wrong. I have a
	24	legitimate excuse. Maybe I have hidden intentions, but I
12:34	25	haven't told anyone that. Now, yes, I gave the Friday sermon,

which may have caused a problem and I may have said things 1 12:34 2 amongst people." 3 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You may continue, Mr. Bujol. 4 5 All right. I'm going to make a statement 12:36 relative to we have electronic court reporting and it does --6 7 can record the time of these lapses. It doesn't very often --8 it doesn't appear generally in the transcripts, but there is a 9 timing mechanism. And I wanted to note, in my mind, it's getting to be exceedingly long pauses between the excerpts that 10 12:36 are going on the screen. 11 12 And I'm not telling Mr. Bujol yet to move it along, but it's got to move along. We've got to tighten it up. 13 14 And I checked with the court reporter. There is a timing mechanism, and I'm determining the time is coming close between 12:37 15 these excerpts that are much too long. 16 17 So, I don't want the whole courtroom and all of the staff just sitting here in silence as Mr. Bujol hunts, you 18 19 know, as to the exact little snippets that he needs. But I'll give him some leeway at this time. 20 12:37 So, go right ahead, sir. Next point. Where are 21 22 we? 23 Okay. I'm just going to -- just in THE DEFENDANT: 24 the interest of time and our previously committed deadline of 25 approximately 1:45 --12:37

12:37	1	THE COURT: No. We have don't worry about the
12.37	2	deadline 1:45. We can always hold over. We have some days
	3	next week if we have to. Nobody is in any rush, and I didn't
	4	mean to state that for a rush. I'm just talking about court
12:38	5	operations. But we're in absolutely no rush, Mr. Bujol. But
	6	your point, you may move along or whatever your next point is.
	7	Let's go.
	8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. This is from a meeting, the last
	9	meeting before the night the defendant was arrested. It's
12:38	10	in a parking lot of a Pizza Hut in Navasota, Texas, between the
	11	two parties.
	12	(Tape playing)
	13	THE DEFENDANT: I should state for the record that it
	14	corresponds to Page 12 in the transcript.
12:39	15	THE COURT: Thank you.
	16	(Tape playing)
	17	THE DEFENDANT: At this time, your Honor, I don't have
	18	any further exhibits to produce.
	19	THE COURT: All right. Let me ask you this, then.
12:40	20	Does the defense the government rested its case, and you put
	21	your excerpts on. Does the government rested its case.
	22	Does the defense rest its case?
	23	THE DEFENDANT: I'll say yes, your Honor.
	24	THE COURT: All right. Defense rests.
12:40	25	Any rebuttal by the government?
	1	

12:40	1	MR. McINTYRE: No rebuttal, and the government closes.
	2	THE COURT: All right. How much time do you want
	3	to take
	4	MR. McINTYRE: Yeah, if we could take
12:40	5	THE COURT: Take the video down, please.
	6	All right. Let's turn the lights on, please.
	7	All right. How much time does the government
	8	want for summation?
	9	MR. McINTYRE: Fifteen minutes would be fine, your
12:40	10	Honor.
	11	THE COURT: All right. And, defense, how much time do
	12	you want, sir? Is that sufficient time, also?
	13	THE DEFENDANT: That's sufficient.
	14	THE COURT: All right. It's now 12:41. I'm just
12:41	15	going to sit up here if you want to get your notes together.
	16	We'll go right into summation. So, I'll be sitting right here
	17	and for five minutes.
	18	And if you need to get I'm not even getting in
	19	the back. I get in the back there, somebody is going to call
12:41	20	me. But we will then immediately proceed to summation.
	21	So, we're going Mr. Bujol, the government,
	22	we're going off the record for five minutes. And if everybody
	23	is ready sooner than that, fine. But let's get everything
	24	ready to go. Okay?
12:41	25	MR. McINTYRE: Yes.

12:41	1	THE COURT: For summation.
	2	And, of course, now I am going to what I will
	3	
		do is this. I will give each side a two-minute warning before
	4	your 15 minutes are up. Okay?
12:41	5	Now, remember the government can stop whenever
	6	they want. You need to take at least half of that time on your
	7	opening. And you can reserve some time. They've got the
	8	burden of proof; so, they'll go first. Then they'll stop.
	9	Then you may go for your full 15 minutes. And then, whatever
12:41	10	time they have left on the clock, that's it. All right?
	11	So, let's go off the record for a few minutes.
	12	And everybody just remain in the courtroom. And as soon as a
	13	few minutes have gone past and you put everything together,
	14	we'll be ready to wrap it up.
12:42	15	Thank you. So, off the record.
	16	(Recess was taken)
	17	THE COURT: Okay. Everybody ready?
	18	MR. McINTYRE: Yes, your Honor.
	19	THE COURT: All right. Ready to get on.
12:45	20	MR. McINTYRE: Do you want me to argue from here, your
	21	Honor?
	22	THE COURT: Wherever you're most comfortable.
	23	MR. McINTYRE: Yes.
	24	THE COURT: Just for the purposes of certainly this
12:45	25	here, Mr. Bujol, you can make your argument right from your
		•

chair there when your time comes. 1 12:45 2 All right. Hang on a second. 3 All right. What sort of notice do you want, when 4 how much time is left? 5 MR. McINTYRE: When there's five minutes left, your 12:45 6 Honor. 7 THE COURT: Five minutes left. You have 15 minutes, 8 and you want a five-minute notice. All right? Five minutes' 9 left notice. All right. Start your summation, please, 10 12:45 11 counsel. 12 MR. McINTYRE: May it please the Court. Your Honor, the evidence in this case regarding 13 14 Mr. Bujol is that he is a radicalized jihadi terrorist that 12:45 15 just happens to be born in the United States. He was a follower and a disciple of Anwar al-Awlaki, one of the world's 16 17 most well-known terrorists, publicizers, and radicalizers of American jihadis. 18 19 The evidence in this case, your Honor, is that the defendant, when he left -- or attempted to leave in 20 12:46 February and March of 2009, was intending not to go to language 21 22 school. He never had any intention of going to language 23 school, but in fact was going overseas to aid al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula. 24 25 The evidence in this case is replete and the 12:46

12:48

transcripts are replete with statements from the defendant's own mouth that, when he attempted to make what he called "hijrah" to Yemen, that the Islamic school -- or it was simply a ruse. He called it a "legitimate excuse." He told the source that he had hidden intentions. And those hidden intentions were that he was going to join al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula and commit terrorist acts against the United States and others.

Before the defendant in this case ever met the confidential source in November of 2009, he had already been radicalized through the Internet by Awlaki and others. Court has seen the contents of his e-mails: the Juba Sniper video, which he e-mailed to himself, which showed American soldiers being murdered in Irag; the list of sites that were described by the government expert, one of which was the original or official site of al-Qaeda.

He also had, with the moniker "check this out," one of the original al-Qaeda in Yemen videos that he had sent to himself. There's a multitude of this type of jihadist thought that occurred way in advance of the FBI introducing a confidential source in this case.

Once the -- once the confidential source was introduced in this case, there was no coercion from the government. There was no subterfuge. There was no The fact unreasonable actions on the part of the government.

12:49

is this defendant was predisposed to go fight for al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula. And the confidential source in this case simply offered him a vehicle to get overseas and do what he always wanted to do, which is commit jihad.

On two occasions during the meetings between the confidential source and the defendant, the confidential source flatly tells the defendant that he is a member of al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula. And the defendant's response is, "God willing" and "God bless."

The recordings also show that he was told the night -- the night that he was arrested, on May the 30th, that he would be sent to a safe house, he would then go to Yemen. And he was actually told by the confidential source that he would be trained to shoot. There's nothing that shooting has to do with language school. He was flat out told that he was going to be taught to shoot.

And the best evidence of this case, regarding what the defendant's true intentions are, is the hidden video that he left for his wife. He, of course, did not intend for this video to be seen by anyone but his wife, never expected it to be played in court. And, therefore, he was very honest about his intentions. He flat out says, "I met a brother who's a member of al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula," described him as someone that changed his life. And then he goes on to define the terms that are at dispute in this case or that he

12:51

would like to have the meaning that's less than what it really is, "hijrah." He puts up "hijrah." What's his definition of "hijrah"?

He put this presentation together, went out and found two photographs of two known terrorists and superimposed the word "hijrah" on there, Jihad Jane and Zazi, both people that traveled overseas to train, one of which committed terrorists acts overseas and one of which came back to the United States to commit terrorist acts.

He has a picture which is called the "iconic picture" of the al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula leaders, all four of them sitting next to a rocket propelled grenade. All four of those were identified in this case as the four top leaders of al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula. He puts the letters -- or superimposes the letters "AQAP" over the leaders of al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula. He chose the photograph; he chose the letters that went over it.

He has a picture of jihad. And, once again, he has superimposed a picture of jihad over a picture of Osama bin Laden. In this video, he demonstrates that his view of hijrah is terrorist acts, his vision of jihad is Osama bin Laden's vision of jihad and that he certainly knows — the defendant certainly knew that the confidential source was a member of al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula, because he was told twice and then he put it in his own video that that's what he was a

12:51

1 me

2

3

4

5

12:51

6 7

8

9

12:52 10

11

12 13

14

12:52 15

16

17 18

19

12:52 20

21

22

23

24

12:52 25

member of.

Ultimately, it ended on May the 30th, with him actually going through the items that he was going to take to Abu Bakr, who was represented to be an AQAP representative that would meet him once he arrived in Algeria. He loaded on the boat. He was given every opportunity not to get on the boat. They let him sit in the room for a little while, let him think about it. He never had any doubts. And, in fact, the source said, when he dropped him off, he didn't even give him a hug or say goodbye, he just jumped right on the boat.

So, we think the evidence in this case, your Honor, is very clear that the defendant is guilty of the charge in Count 1.

Secondly, you also heard evidence from the agents in this case that they created a false TWIC identification card that the defendant picked up from a dead-drop zone underneath a rock. In the defendant's own statement, he admitted the card was fake and the name was fake. Not only did he present it to gain access to the port, but even after he was arrested, even after the gig was up, he told the HPD officer who was transporting him back to the FBI that he was, in fact, Paul Mexia, which is the fake name that's on the TWIC card.

So, we would ask the Court to find the defendant guilty of Counts 1 and 2, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. You've used seven minutes and

12:53	1	20 seconds. It's now Mr. Bujol's opportunity.
	2	Go right ahead, sir. Sir, you've got 15 minutes.
	3	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I would like to object and
	4	say that the government has failed to prove its case with
12:53	5	regards to the defendant's intentions to fight for al-Qaeda in
	6	the Arabian Peninsula.
	7	THE COURT: All right. You needn't object. Your
	8	position is
	9	THE DEFENDANT: My position
12:53	10	THE COURT: that they failed to prove it, correct?
	11	THE DEFENDANT: My position is, your Honor, yes,
	12	they
	13	THE COURT: I can't practice law, but I want you to
	14	phrase it that way because that's what we're looking at.
12:53	15	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
	16	THE COURT: Okay? That they failed to prove it. Why?
	17	THE DEFENDANT: They failed to prove that I wanted to
	18	be a fighter for this organization. The record and the
	19	evidence will indicate that I did, in fact, make efforts to
12:54	20	follow up on my goals of making hijrah to attend language
	21	schools. Because and the record will also show that just
	22	saying you want to relocate to a Muslim country is not a
	23	legitimate excuse. The record will reflect that.
	24	It is not it is illegitimate, in other words,
12:54	25	as if a person just comes to the United States. You can't do

12:56

that. You have to have a legitimate reason for coming to this country. And being that the defendant wanted to exercise his -- living in a Muslim country, you also need a legitimate excuse. And that legitimate excuse was also his desire, anyway. And the defendant, as is indicated in the evidence, repeatedly expressed that.

However -- and the defendant drew conclusions, that are indicated in the record, which may or may not have been accurate. He may have been mistaken, but he sincerely thought that his prior two arrests were the subject of anti-Muslim sentiment. He may have been wrong, but he sincerely thought that. And as a consequence, he became, as the record will show, more paranoid and more secluded and more desirous to leave and, as a result of that, was eager to accept the assistance of someone who had or had presented himself as a person of dubious affiliations.

The defendant did not intend, as the record will show, to provide this person and the organization with material information. In fact, the defendant sent documents he knew they were old. And in one e-mail in the record he said "alhamdulillah" -- "praise to Allah" -- "I thought those documents were old." He knows it, and he isn't -- he's not concerned about it.

Further, he sends the CHS a public document with a clear disclaimer stating that this is not official army

material and it has all of the documents he previously sent, him being aware of his information is not of material. He also indicated that I didn't intend to provide real intel. He's -- I'm not, as the record will show, interested in joining this organization in its battlefield capacity, in its -- and, with regards material support, providing the classified or restricted manuals.

As is in the record, the defendant was shown on May 3rd, 2010, both restricted manuals which were later sent. However, the record will also show that when this CHS is mentioning "restricted," he's bending over, away from the defendant, picking up the documents, after which the defendant doesn't ask for the document, he doesn't want to see it, he doesn't become excited and want to take it with him. He just — he doesn't even look — he doesn't even — he doesn't even ask for it.

You know, this individual offered the defendant an opportunity to leave what the defendant had, in his mind, concluded to be harassment. And he saw -- the defendant saw a way out and accepted assistance from the wrong person. And that led to the night of May 30th.

And with respect to going to a training camp, the record will reflect that at first the CHS told the defendant he would be trained to do certain things and he never explained what that was. And then, the night of the arrest, he says,

01:01

"You might shoot, but I don't want you to say 'no.'" He left me the option. He didn't force me to do it, and he never made it appear as though I had to do it.

He -- and the record is replete with references where he says, "You don't have to do anything you don't want to do," which is, to my understanding, "I will help you, but I'm not requiring of you anything." I never -- and the record will show I never pledged allegiance to the organization. I never pledged to do anything material, offer any expert opinion, advice derived from scientific knowledge. In spite of the fact that he mentions frequently that I had computer knowledge, I never offered that expertise.

I offered information off of Google. And I will say that with the global -- with respect to the global jihadi movement and the Salafi-Jihadi ideology, as the record shows and as Mr. Kohlmann pointed out, there are differences. There are people that within the Salafi-Jihadi movements agree with the concepts like Sharia law and the Islamic state, which are controversial. However, those same groups don't agree with committing terrorism -- i.e., suicide attacks and things like 9-11 -- to carry out or to -- to bring about that ultimate goal.

And having said that, when I saw this person willing to help me, it made me unsure as to whether this person was really a part of something that would do these kinds of

01:01	1	acts. We never talked about terrorism attacks. We never
	2	talked about attacking in the style of 9-11. We talked about
	3	in and the most we talked about, which is not material
	4	support, are drones, the machine.
01:01	5	So, I will say that I made that error and I
	6	trusted this individual and this individual led me to think
	7	that I was taking those items to a brother and a and his
	8	good friend. And as the record will show, he's referred to
	9	"brother" is just a term used for any any Muslim. It
01:02	10	doesn't it isn't just a fighter or a person who's in
	11	al-Qaeda. It's anyone. It doesn't reflect this specific
	12	"this is the code for terrorists," and there's never any
	13	mention of that in the record. So, they haven't proved that I
	14	intended to give these items to al-Qaeda in the Arabian
01:02	15	Peninsula.
	16	I'm finished.
	17	THE COURT: You're complete?
	18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yes, sir.
	19	THE COURT: All right. You used right at 10 minutes.
01:03	20	Government, you need to address some of those
	21	points.
	22	MR. McINTYRE: Rather quickly, your Honor.
	23	THE COURT: Well, I'm just saying
	24	MR. McINTYRE: Yes.
01:03	25	THE COURT: I'm asking you to do that.

01:03	1	MR. McINTYRE: Oh, okay.
	2	THE COURT: Okay?
	3	MR. McINTYRE: Yes, your Honor.
	4	THE COURT: Address those points if you think it's
01:03	5	necessary. And you've got you've used seven minutes and 20
	6	seconds. And you'll get a five-minute warning, and I'll let
	7	you know when you get close.
	8	You want a two-minute warning now?
	9	MR. McINTYRE: That would be fine, your Honor.
01:03	10	THE COURT: Okay. I'll give you a two-minute warning
	11	on when your time is up.
	12	All right. Now, address, if you think it's
	13	necessary, some of the points Mr. Bujol raised. But, you know,
	14	it's your time. You've used exactly one half of your time now.
01:03	15	Go on.
	16	MR. McINTYRE: Yes, your Honor.
	17	The term "brothers" was commonly used as a code
	18	word, like the other code words that were used in this case, to
	19	describe AQAP, members of AQAP.
01:03	20	THE COURT: "AQAP" is?
	21	MR. McINTYRE: Al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula.
	22	In fact, I mean, there's there's a portion
	23	on of a transcript on May 3rd of 2010 where he goes into
	24	great detail this is on Page 17 Bujol does, about
01:04	25	THE COURT: Page 17 of?

01:05

MR. McINTYRE: It's 323B.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. McINTYRE: Yes, your Honor.

He goes into great detail how he's preparing himself to live and die with the brothers and how he has to be firm. And he talks about those who sit and stay behind and get on their computer and spout jihadi ideas, but it takes -- when it's time to really do what you need to do, which is live and die with the brothers, in his view, that it takes steadfastness and preparation and that he's been working on himself mentally to be able to go and live and die with the brothers, which is al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula.

As I pointed out before -- and this, again, is in the record, 324 -- Exhibit 324, Page 31 -- the confidential source, before he takes him to the ship, says, "They're going to take you out and teach you to shoot." So -- and the record is replete with those types of examples, that makes the story that he thought he was going to jump on a ship and go to language school ludicrous.

And it's ludicrous on its face. He believed, based on what he said, what he was told, and what the video said for his wife, that the confidential source was a member of al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula and that he was going over there to meet Abu Bakr and take restricted military manuals, cash, military equipment, compasses, things like that, over to

1 Abu Bakr. And, in fact, before he gets on the ship, to show 01:05 2 that he wasn't just trying to get a ride to go to language 3 school on a ship, he repeats -- right before he gets on the 4 ship, asks the source, "Where is the number for Abu Bakr? 5 Where the number for Abu Bakr? I've got to get in touch with 01:05 him before I get on the ship." 6 7 THE COURT: What's the requirement under the wording 8 of the indictment that's necessary for the government to show 9 beyond a reasonable doubt? We've heard a lot of testimony. What's the wording that's necessary? 10 01:06 11 MR. McINTYRE: Your Honor, the elements of the crime are that the defendant knowingly attempted to provide material 12 13 support or resources; the defendant believed that the support 14 or resources was going to an organization commonly known as 01:06 15 al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula; that the organization 16 commonly known as al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula previously 17 had been designated as a foreign terrorist organization by the secretary of state; that the defendant knew that one or more of 18 the following conditions existed: that the organization 19 20 commonly known as AQAP had been designated a foreign terrorist 01:06 organization or that the organization commonly known as AQAP --21 22 THE COURT: You don't have to read the whole thing. MR. McINTYRE: -- engages in terrorist activity. 23 24 THE COURT: That's the full indictment. Is that 25 correct? 01:06

MR. McINTYRE: That's the elements of the offense, 1 01:06 2 yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: The elements of the offense. 4 MR. McINTYRE: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: And what about --01:07 6 MR. McINTYRE: And, clearly -- I'm sorry. 7 THE COURT: Go on. Those are the elements of the 8 offense. 9 Yes. And, clearly, the defendant knew MR. McINTYRE: that al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula committed terrorist acts 10 01:07 and committed acts of violence, because he sent a multitude of 11 12 e-mails to the confidential source about al-Qaeda in Yemen and al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula. 13 14 And, in fact, the defendant talks -- the 01:07 15 defendant is the first one to bring up al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula to the confidential source, where he's described, 16 17 wrongly, a drone attack on al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula, where he claims all these children were killed, when in fact 18 19 the expert testified that they took out militants for al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula. 20 01:07 21 And, further, your Honor, as I was saying before, the fact that he is claiming he was going to go to language 22 23 school is ludicrous. He's getting on a ship with a recruiter 24 with al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula. He -- did he think he 25 was simply going to arrive overseas and that al-Qaeda in the 01:08

Arabian Peninsula was going to say, "Yeah, we expended all these resources and all this effort to get this jihadi over here to commit terrorist acts and train him but he's decided he wants to go to language school so we're just going to let him go to language school"? That's ludicrous.

He had the intention and would have, once he arrived over there, been trained exactly like he was told, to shoot and to commit terrorist acts.

One of the things that I wanted to point out to you were the defendant claims he sent -- "Oh, I just sent these military manuals. And, yeah, I gave this al-Qaeda operative advice on air force bases and drones. But I never personally tried to encourage anyone to commit a violent act." If you'll look at Government's Exhibit 261, this is from the defendant to the confidential source just a few days before he gets on the ship, where he's twice been told this is an AQAP operative. And it's titled, "Take a trip to a local zoo."

And what he says is, "I've been thinking maybe if you or any friends and family" -- and the only friends and family that the confidential source had were his brothers in AQAP -- "want to go to the zoo" -- the "zoo" is the air force base -- "there are plenty to choose from, with lots of dogs" -- "dogs" being soldiers that are at the air force base -- "and elephants," which are the drones. "View the attachment. Any questions? Send me an e-mail."

01:11

And if you look at the attachment, your Honor, which is 262, it's a guide to air force installations worldwide. Knowing the confidential source is a member of AQAP, he is encouraging AQAP members to attack air force bases worldwide and refers to American soldiers as "dogs."

THE COURT: You've got two minutes left.

MR. McINTYRE: Yes, your Honor. And I would like to briefly go back to the "For My Wife" video because I think it's very important throughout the course of this trial that he's tried to define these terms "hijrah," "jihad," to mean things that don't involve armed conflict.

Once again, when he was talking to his wife, in the most private moment -- and in fact, left -- he left his two infant children, his wife, and just flat out walked out the door with a backpack at 1:00 o'clock in the morning, walked a mile, determined to meet up with an AQAP representative and go overseas.

In the video it's very clear -- it's almost like a martyrdom video -- there's signs of heaven and he's saying his wife may or may not see him again and he leaves the moniker, "To be continued at the end." That would be the most truthful he is about the terms that he commonly uses in these conversations with the confidential source.

He says many times, "I want to commit hijrah." He talks about jihad. He talks about the as-sadigeen, which is

the most righteous. In every picture that's associated with as-sadiquen, jihad, or hijrah is either a convicted terrorist, a member of a terrorist organization, or a militant with a rocket propelled grenade. That's his definitions. That's what he wanted to do. That was essentially his last will and testament, his most private moment to the only people in the world that he probably cared about and which he didn't care about very much, because he left and jumped on a ship in the middle of the night.

That's all we have, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let me take --

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor --

THE COURT: No, sir. That's it. That's the frustration, as we all know, being a defense lawyer. You can't rebut the rebuttal. That's the end of the -- end of it.

All right. There are four exhibits that were entered into evidence by Mr. Bujol. So, when I leave, we need to make sure we have that. So, if the marshals will give us a moment or so. We need to make sure we have that in the record. And all the government's exhibit are in evidence.

You need not move your stuff unless you want.

Just neaten it up a little bit. I've got a full docket on

Monday morning. And nobody is going to disturb it. We have -
I have all day tomorrow, Saturday, and Sunday to look through
all of the exhibits.

I have taken my own personal notes, and I can get 1 01:12 2. the exhibits if I need to. I'm going to announce the verdict, 3 the quilt or innocence verdict, on Monday. And the time I'm 4 setting has a reason. That way, no one will be hanging around 5 and stalling around. Because I have civil cases that may or 01:13 may not -- there's no need at this point to move it off. It 6 7 will also give me some opportunity, after the weekend, if 8 I -- you know, I don't have to come down each day. If I make 9 some notes at home, I can come down that morning. So, the verdict in the case will be handed down 10 01:13 by the Court at 3:00 p.m. on Monday. And that completes all 11 12 the testimony and the summation of both sides. The first thing we need to do with the marshal service, he needs to stay here a 13 14 few minutes and make sure we have those four exhibits. 01:13 15 You need to get all yours together with Ellen after we make sure Mr. Bujol's are here. And I will see you 16 17 all Monday at 3:00 p.m. We'll stand adjourned. (Proceedings recessed for evening) 18 19 20 COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from 21 the record of proceedings in the above-entitled cause. 22 23 April 3, 2012 Date: 24 /s/ Cheryll K. Barron 25 Cheryll K. Barron, CSR, CMR, FCRR Official Court Reporter